

# Dynamical Renormalization Group Study of a Conserved Surface Growth with Anti-Diffusive and Nonlinear Currents

Youngkyun Jung<sup>†</sup>, In-mook Kim<sup>†\*</sup> and Yup Kim<sup>‡\*\*</sup>

<sup>†</sup> *Department of Physics, Korea University, Seoul, 136-701, KOREA*

<sup>‡</sup> *Department of Physics and Research Institute for Basic Sciences*

*Kyung-Hee University Seoul 130-701, KOREA*

## Abstract

Based on dynamical renormalization group (RG) calculations to the one-loop order, the surface growth described by a nonlinear stochastic conserved growth equation,  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \pm \nu_2 \nabla^2 h + \lambda \nabla \cdot (\nabla h)^3 + \eta$  ( $\nu_2 > 0$ ), is studied analytically. The universality class of the growth described by the above equation with  $+\nu_2$  (diffusion) is shown to be the same as that described by the Edwards-Wilkinson (EW) equation (i.e.  $+\nu_2$  and  $\lambda = 0$ ). In contrast our RG recursion relations manifest that the growth described by the above equation with  $-\nu_2$  (anti-diffusion) is an unstable growth and do not reproduce the recent results from a numerical simulation by J. M. Kim [Phys. Rev. E **52**, 6267 (1995)].

PACS No.: 05.40.+j; 05.70.Ln; 68.35.Fx; 61.50.Cj.

\* E-mail : imkim@kucn.korea.ac.kr

\*\*E-mail : ykim@nms.kyunghee.ac.kr

Recently, there have been considerable interests in the various surface growth models [1] to understand roughenings in the growing surfaces theoretically. Since the surface structures of many growth process are self-affine, most efforts have concentrated on the surface width  $W$ , which is defined by the root mean square fluctuation of the surface height. In a finite system of lateral size  $L$ , the width  $W$  starting from a flat substrate scales as [2]

$$\begin{aligned} W(t) &\sim L^\alpha f(t/L^z) \\ &\sim t^\beta, \quad t \ll L^z \\ &\sim L^\alpha, \quad t \gg L^z \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the scaling function  $f(x)$  is  $x^\beta$  for  $x \ll 1$  and constant for  $x \gg 1$ . The exponents  $\beta$  and  $z$  are connected by the relation  $z = \alpha/\beta$ . Among the growth models which satisfy Eq. (1), the class of models known as “conserved” models [3–9], which conserve the total number of particles after being deposited, has been extensively studied as a possible description for the real molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) growth [10]. In these conserved models the height  $h$  describing the local position of the surface of growing materials is known to obey [3]

$$\frac{\partial h(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}, t) + \eta(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad , \tag{2}$$

where  $\mathbf{J}$  is the surface current and  $\eta(\mathbf{x}, t)$  is an uncorrelated random noise

$$\langle \eta(\mathbf{x}, t) \eta(\mathbf{x}', t') \rangle = 2D \delta^d(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \delta(t - t') \quad . \tag{3}$$

There have been several studies on the surface growth described by the following current [11–16]

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\nu_2 \nabla h + \nu_4 \nabla^3 h - \lambda (\nabla h)^3 \tag{4}$$

and thus by the corresponding continuum equation

$$\frac{\partial h(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial t} = \nu_2 \nabla^2 h - \nu_4 \nabla^4 h + \lambda \nabla \cdot (\nabla h)^3 + \eta(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad . \tag{5}$$

For  $\nu_2 > 0$ , the critical property of the surface growth described by Eq. (5) is solely dependent on the first term  $\nu_2 \nabla^2 h$  in the right hand side, which is the most relevant term

in a renormalization group (RG) sense and it belongs to the Edwards and Wilkinson(EW) universality class [17] with  $\alpha = (2 - d)/2$  and  $z = 2$  for any substrate dimension  $d$  [11,12]. When  $\nu_2 = \lambda = 0$ , Eq. (5) becomes the Mullins-Herring equation [18], which can be solved exactly to give  $\alpha = (4 - d)/2$  and  $z = 4$  [3]. When  $\nu_2 = 0$ ,  $\nu_4 > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , the recent studies [11,12] have shown that the most relevant nonlinear  $\lambda$  term generates an effective  $\nu_2^{eff} \nabla^2 h$  term with  $\nu_2^{eff} > 0$  and the corresponding growth belongs to the EW universality class, even though both the scaling argument and the dimensional analysis [4] suggest the exponent values  $\beta = (4 - d)/2(4 + d)$  and  $\alpha = (4 - d)/4$ .

More recently the growth described by Eq. (5) with  $\nu_2 < 0$ ,  $\nu_4 \geq 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$  has been studied numerically [13]. From this numerical results it has been argued that the critical property of such growth belongs to the EW universality class even for  $\nu_2 < 0$ , on the ground that the nonlinear  $\lambda$  term could suppress any negative  $\nu_2$ , so that  $\nu_2$  could become effectively positive, i.e.  $\nu_2^{eff} > 0$  [13]. If this argument is right, then the  $\lambda$  term could make the unstable growth with  $-\nu_2 |\nabla^2 h$  term the stable EW growth with  $\nu_2^{eff} > 0$ . However if one analyzes Eq. (5) from a point of view of the scaling and dimensional analyses, the most relevant term is  $\nu_2 \nabla^2 h$  regardless of the sign of  $\nu_2$ . So it is somewhat strange that the less relevant  $\lambda$  term renormalizes the most relevant  $\nu_2$  term so effectively as to make the change of sign of  $\nu_2$ . It is thus our motivation to check whether this strange renormalization is physically plausible or not by use of dynamical renormalization group calculations [19,20] and other analytical methods.

To achieve this goal, we first discuss the dynamical renormalization group study of Eq. (5) with  $\nu_2 \neq 0$ ,  $\nu_4 = 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . In the large distance and long-time hydrodynamic limits, Eq. (5) for  $\nu_4 = 0$  in Fourier space can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
h(\mathbf{k}, \omega) &= G(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \eta(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \\
&= G_0(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \eta(\mathbf{k}, \omega) + \lambda G_0(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \\
&\quad \times \iiint \frac{d\Omega_1 d^d \mathbf{q}_1 d\Omega_2 d^d \mathbf{q}_2}{(2\pi)^{2d+2}} \\
&\quad \times (\mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_2) [\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2)] h(\mathbf{q}_1, \Omega_1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times h(\mathbf{q}_2, \Omega_2) h(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2, \omega - \Omega_1 - \Omega_2) \quad (6)$$

where  $G_0(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$  is the bare propagator defined by the expression

$$G_0(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{1}{\nu_2 k^2 - i\omega} . \quad (7)$$

Using  $\langle \eta(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \eta(\mathbf{k}', \omega') \rangle = 2D \delta^d(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \delta(\omega + \omega')$  and performing the internal frequency integrals of Eq. (6) to the one-loop order, one obtains

$$G(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = G_0(\mathbf{k}, \omega) - \frac{\lambda D}{\nu_2} K_d \frac{d+2}{d} k^2 G_0^2(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \Sigma(q), \quad (8)$$

in the limits  $\omega \rightarrow 0$  and  $k \rightarrow 0$ . Here  $\Sigma(q) = \int^\Lambda dq q^{d-1}$ ,  $\Lambda$  is the momentum cutoff ( $\Lambda \equiv 1$ ), and  $K_d = S_d / (2\pi)^d$  with  $S_d = 2\pi^{d/2} / \Gamma(d/2)$ . Since  $\Sigma(q)$  has no infrared divergence for any dimension, we can expect that the nonlinear  $\lambda$  term is irrelevant in RG sense and that the  $\lambda$  will not renormalize negative  $\nu_2$  term to be positive.

For the confirmation's sake, we want to calculate the dynamical RG recursion relations for  $\nu_2$  and  $\lambda$ . Integrating out the fast modes in the momentum shell  $k^> \in [\Lambda e^{-\ell}, \Lambda]$  and restoring the slow modes  $k^< \in [0, \Lambda e^{-\ell}]$  with  $e^{-\ell} = 1 - \delta\ell + \dots$ , we find an effective surface tension  $\nu_2^<$  for the long wavelength modes,

$$\nu_2^< = \nu_2 \left[ 1 + \delta\ell K_d \frac{\lambda D}{\nu_2^2} \frac{d+2}{d} \right] . \quad (9)$$

In an analogous way we get

$$\lambda^< = \lambda \left[ 1 - \delta\ell K_d a(d) \frac{\lambda D}{\nu_2^2} \right] , \quad (10)$$

where  $a(d) = 9$  for  $d = 1$ , and  $a(d) = \frac{9}{2}$  for  $d = 2$ . Upon requiring that the equation stays invariant under the scale( $e^\ell$ ) transformations  $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow e^{-\ell} \mathbf{k}$ ,  $t \rightarrow e^{z\ell} t$  and  $h \rightarrow e^{\alpha\ell}$ , the parameters should transform as follows:  $\nu_2 \rightarrow b^{z-2} \nu_2$ ,  $D \rightarrow b^{z-2\alpha-d} D$  and  $\lambda \rightarrow b^{z+2\alpha-4} \lambda$ . Combining these scale transformations and Eqs. (9) and (10), we get the following RG recursion relations:

$$\frac{d\nu_2}{d\ell} = \nu_2 \left[ z - 2 + g K_d \frac{d+2}{d} \right] \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{dD}{d\ell} = D [z - 2\alpha - d] \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{d\lambda}{d\ell} = \lambda [z + 2\alpha - 4 - g a(d) K_d] , \quad (13)$$

where the coupling constant  $g$  is defined as

$$g \equiv \frac{\lambda D}{\nu_2^2} . \quad (14)$$

The RG recursion relation of the effective coupling constant  $g$  is thus

$$\frac{dg}{d\ell} = g [-d - gA(d)K_d] , \quad (15)$$

where  $A(1) = 15$  and  $A(2) = \frac{17}{2}$  for  $d = 1$  and  $2$  and we have also confirmed  $A(d) > 0$  for any  $d$ . As one can expect from Fig. 1, the RG flow of  $g$  has only one stable fixed point which is a Gaussian fixed point, i.e.  $g^* = 0$  and does not have any nontrivial fixed points. The RG flow of  $g$  for  $\nu_2 < 0$  is the same as those for  $\nu_2 > 0$ . If the results from the numerical calculations in Ref. [13] would be explained by the dynamical RG, then the RG flow of  $g$  for  $\nu_2 < 0$  should be different from those for  $\nu_2 > 0$ . As shown in Fig. 2, the RG flows starting at  $(\nu_2 > 0, \lambda > 0)$  will eventually arrive at  $(\nu_2^{eff} > 0, \lambda \rightarrow 0)$ . This means that the growth described by Eq. (5) with  $\nu_4 = 0$ ,  $\nu_2 > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$  in the large distance scale belongs to the EW universality class as we have expected. The RG flows starting at  $(\nu_2 < 0, \lambda > 0)$ , on the while, will eventually arrive at  $(\nu_2^{eff} < 0, \lambda \rightarrow 0)$ . This means that the growth described by Eq. (5) with  $\nu_4 = 0$ ,  $\nu_2 < 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$  in the large distance scale has nearly the same critical property as the unstable linear growth described by

$$\frac{\partial h(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial t} = -|\nu_2| \nabla^2 h + \eta . \quad (16)$$

Based on our dynamical RG calculations we conclude that the growth by Eq. (5) with  $\nu_2 < 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\nu_4 = 0$  should be an unstable growth and we cannot reproduce the recent results by a numerical simulation [13].

The RG recursion relations (11), (12) and (13) are based on the one-loop order perturbative calculations and are intrinsically exact only for  $\lambda \ll 1$  or  $g = \frac{\lambda D}{\nu_2^2} \ll 1$ . The main result of the dynamical RG calculations physically indicates that the growth described by Eq. (5) with  $\nu_2 < 0$ ,  $\nu_4 = 0$  and the small  $\lambda(> 0)$  does not belong to the same universality class as that of the EW growth. To understand physically the unstable growth by Eq. (5)

with  $\nu_2 < 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\nu_4 = 0$  for the finite  $\lambda$  including the case for  $\lambda \ll 1$ , let's discuss the growth from an Hamiltonian-based argument or from an equilibrium physics. If one believe that the dynamical equation (5) with  $\nu_4 = 0$  can be derived by an equilibrium Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}$  via the Langevin equation  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = -\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta h} + \eta$  and the Langevin equation will reach a steady state or an equilibrium where the states are controlled by the Boltzman distribution  $P(\mathcal{H}) \propto \exp(-\beta \mathcal{H})$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$  should be

$$\mathcal{H} = \int d^d x \left[ \frac{\nu_2}{2} (\nabla h)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} (\nabla h)^4 \right]. \quad (17)$$

If one puts  $\nabla h$  to be equal to a field  $\phi(x)$  (i.e.,  $\nabla h \equiv \phi(x)$ ) and use a mean-field theoretic argument for Hamiltonian (17), the corresponding Landau-Ginzburg function  $\mathcal{L}$  becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\nu_2}{2} \phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4. \quad (18)$$

When  $\nu_2 > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , the mean field theory with  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} = 0$  gives  $\langle \phi \rangle = \langle \nabla h \rangle = 0$  as in a disordered phase of the ordinary magnetic phase transitions [21]. The fluctuations around the mean-field  $\langle \phi \rangle = \langle \nabla h \rangle = 0$  should be a Gaussian-type and it seems quite plausible to believe that the surface roughenings with  $\nu_2 > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$  belong to the EW universality class. However when  $\nu_2 < 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , the mean field theory predicts

$$\langle \phi^2 \rangle = \langle (\nabla h)^2 \rangle_{eq} = -\frac{\nu_2}{\lambda} > 0 \quad (19)$$

as in an ordered phase of the ordinary magnetic phase transitions. In the surface growth phenomena, Eq. (19) means that the local slope satisfies  $\langle |\nabla h| \rangle_{eq} \simeq \sqrt{|\nu_2|/\lambda}$  in an equilibrium state, i.e. in a saturation state. Dynamically, in the growing process when  $\langle |\nabla h| \rangle < \sqrt{|\nu_2|/\lambda}$ , the anti-diffusion term  $-\nu_2 \nabla h$  of the current (see Eq. (4)) dominates. Dynamical RG recursion relations (11), (12) and (13) which are intrinsically exact for  $\lambda \ll 1$  or  $g = \frac{\lambda D}{\nu_2^2} \ll 1$  correspond to this growing stage of the local slopes. For  $g \ll 1$  where RG calculations are correct, it takes very long time for the local slopes to reach the equilibrium value  $\sqrt{|\nu_2|/\lambda}$  and this dynamical process should be described by Eq. (16), because  $\langle |\nabla h| \rangle_{eq} \simeq \sqrt{|\nu_2|/\lambda} \gg 1$ . We have confirmed this kind of growth by using several simulations for the growths with different  $g$ 's [22]. In contrast for finite  $g$ 's the time interval in which the anti-diffusion dominates

become rather finite and sooner or later the growth reaches the saturation state or the equilibrium state where the effect of the anti-diffusion term is balanced to give  $\langle |\nabla h| \rangle \sim \sqrt{|\nu_2|/\lambda}$ . After that local slopes fluctuates around the value in Eq. (19). We have also confirmed that this kind of the unstable growth occurs when  $g$  is finite by simulations [22]. It is thus not physically sound that the growth with  $\nu_2 < 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$  belongs to the same universality class as that of the EW-like growth with  $\nu_2^{eff} \nabla^2 h$  with  $\nu_2^{eff} > 0$  as in Ref [13]. Instead we do expect an unstable growth.

We now want to discuss on the point why the numerical simulations in Ref. [13] couldn't see such an unstable growth and did see only the stable growth which belongs to the EW universality class. To do a numerical simulation in Ref. [13], the local currents in Eq. (4) in  $d = 1$  has been set to be equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{j}(k, i) = & \nu_2[h(k) - h(i)] - \nu_4[h(k+1) + h(k-1) \\ & - 2h(k) - h(i+1) - h(i-1) + 2h(i)] \\ & + \lambda[h(k) - h(i)]^3, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where  $k$  is either  $i+1$  and  $i-1$  and a particle is added on site  $k$  if  $\hat{j}(k)$  is negative. If both  $\hat{j}(i+1, i)$  and  $\hat{j}(i-1, i)$  are positive, a particle is added on site  $i$ . In case both  $\hat{j}(i+1, i)$  and  $\hat{j}(i-1, i)$  are negative, a particle is added on either site randomly. When  $\nu_4 = 0$ , Eq. (20) can be written as

$$\hat{j}(k, i) = \nu_2[h(k) - h(i)] \left\{ 1 + \frac{\lambda}{\nu_2} [h(k) - h(i)]^2 \right\}. \quad (21)$$

In the initial growing process with  $\nu_2 < 0$  when  $\langle [h(k) - h(i)]^2 \rangle$  is quite small and  $\{ \}$  term in Eq. (21) is positive, the anti-diffusion should dominate the growth. As the growth is developed,  $\langle [h(k) - h(i)]^2 \rangle$  increases to  $-\nu_2/\lambda$  rapidly and after that  $\{ \}$  term in Eq. (21) fluctuates around zero. We have confirmed that at this stage the local slope  $\langle |h(k) - h(i)| \rangle$  is nearly equal to  $\sqrt{-\nu_2/\lambda}$  [22]. In contrast the numerical simulations in Ref. [13] have been done only for the cases  $-\nu_2/\lambda = 1, 3$  and the corresponding saturated local slopes  $|h(k) - h(i)|$  are only 1 and  $\sqrt{3}$ . Since  $h(k)$  must be integer numbers 0, 1, 2, ... in a simulation,

$|h(k) - h(i)| = 0, 1$  or  $2$  could be easily generated even by the noise effect only, so the  $\{$  term in Eq. (21) being negative for  $-\nu_2/\lambda = 1, 3$ . This fact explains why the numerical simulation in Ref. [13] had seen only the EW-type growths instead of the unstable growth. But for the considerably large  $-\nu_2/\lambda$ , the anti-diffusion term dominates from the beginning and this effect stays longer and longer until being cancelled out by the nonlinear  $\lambda$  term. Hence hardly realizes the EW-like behavior.

### Acknowledgement

The authors wish to acknowledge the critical and fruitful discussions with Dr. Jin Min Kim. This work is supported in part by the KOSEF (951-0206-003-2), the Ministry of Education (BSRI-96-2409), and by the KOSEF through the SRC program of SNU-CTP.



## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Krug and H. Spohn, in *Solids Far From Equilibrium: Growth, Morphology and Defects*, edited by C. Godreche (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1991); *Dynamics of Fractal Surfaces*, edited by F. Family and T. Vicsek (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991); A.-L. Barabási and H. E. Stanley, *Fractal Concepts in Surface Growth* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1995).
- [2] F. Family and T. Vicsek, J. Phys. A **18**, L75 (1985).
- [3] D. E. Wolf and J. Villain, Europhys. Lett. **13**, 389 (1990).
- [4] Z. W. Lai and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 2348 (1991).
- [5] M. R. Wilby, D. D. Vvedensky, and A. Zangwill, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 12896 (1992).
- [6] D. D. Vvedensky and A. Zangwill, C. Luse, and M. R. Wilby, Phys. Rev. E **48**, 852 (1993).
- [7] P. I. Tamborenea and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. E **48**, 2575 (1993).
- [8] Yup Kim, D. K. Park, and Jin Min Kim, J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. **27**, L553 (1994).
- [9] J. M. Kim and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 2903 (1994).
- [10] Y. L. He, H. N. Yang, and T. M. Lu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 3770 (1992); M. A. Cotta, R. A. Hamm, T. W. Staley, S. N. G. Chu, L. R. Harriott, M. B. Panish, and H. Temkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 4106 (1993).
- [11] J. M. Kim and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. E **51**, 1889 (1995).
- [12] S. Das Sarma and R. Kotlyar, Phys. Rev. E **50**, R4275 (1994).
- [13] J. M. Kim, Phys. Rev. E **52**, 6267 (1995).
- [14] S. Das Sarma, C. J. Lanczycki, R. Kotlyar, and S. V. Ghaisas, Phys. Rev. E **53**, 359 (1996).

- [15] A. K. Kshirsagar and S. V. Ghaisas, Phys. Rev. E **53**, R1325 (1996).
- [16] C. S. Ryu and In-mook Kim, Phys. Rev. E **51**, 3069 (1995).
- [17] S. F. Edwards and D. R. Wilkinson, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A **381**, 17 (1982).
- [18] C. Herring, J. Appl. Phys. **21**, 301 (1950); W. W. Mullins, *ibid.* **28**, 333 (1957).
- [19] D. Forster, D. R. Nelson, and M. J. Stephen, Phys. Rev. A **16**, 732 (1977).
- [20] E. Medina, T. Hwa, M. Kardar, and Y.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. A **39**, 3053 (1989).
- [21] *one can find this type of the mean-field theory in various modern statistical physics textbooks. For an example see M. Plischke and B. Bergersen Equilibrium Statistical Mechanics 2nd. ed (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994)*
- [22] Youngkyun Jung, Yup Kim and In-mook Kim, *to be published in elsewhere.*

## FIGURES

FIG. 1. The RG flows of the coupling constant  $g$ . There is only one fixed point  $g^* = 0$  which is attractive fixed point. The RG flows of  $g$  for  $\nu_2 < 0$  are the same as those for  $\nu_2 > 0$ . (See Eq. (15))

FIG. 2. Schematic RG flows in  $(\lambda, \nu_2)$ -plane. for  $d = 1$  and  $2$ , respectively. The RG flows starting at  $(\nu_2 > 0, \lambda > 0)$  will eventually arrive at  $(\nu_2^{eff} > 0, \lambda \rightarrow 0)$ . In contrast the RG flows starting at  $(\nu_2 < 0, \lambda > 0)$  will eventually arrive at  $(\nu_2^{eff} < 0, \lambda \rightarrow 0)$ .